

TARGET GROUP: ADULTS, FAMILIES and CHILDREN

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)
#1 Adults, families and children are unable to meet basic needs and to attain a level of self-sufficiency.	SHELTER -	SHELTER -	\$168,635	\$168,635
	(1) Homeless Families and Individuals (7) Transitional Housing for the Homeless who are Elderly and Disabled	Provide homeless families with shelter at an emergency homeless shelter and supportive services to decrease future emergency housing needs. Provide transitional housing for homeless individuals who are elderly or have physical disabilities.	[\$84,317.5] [\$84,317.5]	[\$84,317.5] [\$84,317.5]
	CASE MANAGEMENT -		\$499,258	\$938,558
	(5) Basic Needs CASE MANAGEMENT (8) Homeless, Emergency Shelter	Assist individuals and families in dealing with a variety of crisis situations (financial, housing, nutrition, abuse, stress, family functioning) by providing support, identifying appropriate resources, assisting in the development of a plan to resolve the immediate problem(s) presented, and assisting the client in developing a plan to achieve self-sufficiency. Provide a broad array of support and services to homeless individuals in emergency shelter to develop a service plan and secure appropriate resources.	\$125,553	\$176,362

TARGET GROUP: ADULTS, FAMILIES and CHILDREN

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)
#1 - continued	CASE MANAGEMENT (9) Homeless, Transitional Housing	Assist homeless individuals/families, including victims of domestic violence, in a transitional housing program to develop a service plan, provide support and secure appropriate resources.	\$65,587	\$65,587
	TRANSPORTATION (14) Homeless/Unemployed	Assist homeless or unemployed individuals with transportation.	\$16,030	\$16,030
#2. Individuals Experience Abuse and Neglect	CRISIS SHELTER SERVICES (3) Domestic Violence	Provide short-term counseling and shelter to adults and families experiencing crisis situations which may include domestic violence, neglect, exploitation and abuse (physical/mental).	\$243,622	\$340,522
	CRISIS SHELTER SERVICES (6) Children and Runaway Children	Assist children who have run away from home or who are experiencing serious family disruption. The service is intended for children referred from a variety of sources, not only Child Protective Services (CPS) referrals.	\$70,538	\$70,538
	SUPPORTIVE INTERVENTION/GUIDANCE COUNSELING (18) Outpatient Domestic Violence Victims	This service is intended to be provided countywide and first priority should be given to referrals for service that come from the domestic violence shelters in Maricopa County. This service focuses on providing short-term support and identification of community resources. The goals of this service are to improve the emotional and mental well being of eligible individuals; to increase or maintain safety and self-sufficiency of the eligible individuals; and to ensure the availability of information about and access to appropriate human services and community resources.	\$41,103	\$41,103
#2 Continued	SUPPORTIVE INTERVENTION/GUIDANCE COUNSELING (19) High Risk Children	Provide counseling directly to a "high risk" child to prevent the child's lack of self-esteem, poor school performance, illiteracy, or functional limitations. The service may secondarily address impacts upon the child resulting from inadequate parenting, inadequate role modeling, poverty, or family stresses. Assistance may be provided in a community or school setting. The intent is not to supplant other funding sources, but to expand the availability of this type of service.	\$47,902	\$47,902

TARGET GROUP: ADULTS, FAMILIES and CHILDREN

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)
	CASE MANAGEMENT (28) Pregnant/Parenting Youth	Assist pregnant and parenting youth to resolve immediate problems and secure necessary resources to achieve self-sufficiency.	\$22,294	\$95,774
	(37) PARENTING SKILLS TRAINING	Train parents to prevent abuse and neglect of children. This service also targets pregnant teenagers to prevent potential child abuses.	\$110,417	No SSBG funds recommended.**
#3 Affordable housing is not available for all individuals.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
#4 Individuals need encouragement, education and support to enable them to find and maintain jobs with adequate wages and relevant job training.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
#5 An adequate level of supervision, education and protection is needed for infants and children.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*

TARGET GROUP: ADULTS, FAMILIES and CHILDREN

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)
#6 There is a need to support community programs that create conditions and opportunities for children and youth that support positive development.	#39 PREVENTION <i>[AZ Dictionary definition: This service provides for planned efforts to prevent specific conditions, illnesses, injuries, or environmental hazards that could place an individual or community at risk for a negative social or health outcome.]</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Community-based service which demonstrates and documents increased resiliency among youth at risk and demonstrates and documents the reduction of risk factors within a community or youths' living environment(s). •For purposes of this intent, <i>resiliency</i> is defined as <i>the capacity to spring back, rebound, successfully adapt in the face of adversity, and develop social competency despite exposure to severe stress. Youth</i> are defined as <i>young residents of Maricopa County generally described by (but not limited to) school grade/year levels Kindergarten through nine and/or ages five (5) years through sixteen (16) years--with emphasis on upper elementary through junior high age youth.</i> •Process and outcome evaluation methodology and reporting are required components of all proposals and awards. •Requires collaborative effort among agencies, documented by signed letters of commitment. Collaboration is defined as: Communities, agencies or local organizations joining together, through written agreements, to provide services, based on common goals and shared funding. Partners agree to pool resources, jointly plan, implement and evaluate new services and procedures and delegate individual responsibility for the outcomes of their joint efforts. (See RFP for more complete definitions.) 	\$123,869	No SSBG funds recommended.**
#7 Families with infants and children require support to develop and maintain a positive, stable atmosphere which will nurture children, provide them with security and protection, and prepare them for the future.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*

TARGET GROUP: ADULTS, FAMILIES and CHILDREN

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion (SSBG ONLY)
#8 Individuals, families and children are unable to effectively cope with behavioral health (alcohol and drug abuse and mental health) problems. Lack of prevention and early intervention services increases the seriousness of these problems. The suicide rate for teenage Arizona youth continues to be one of the highest in the nation.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
9 Minority youth are over represented in the criminal justice system and child protective services system and under represented in other systems.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
#10 The increasing number and circumstances of teen pregnancies is alarming in terms of negative social consequences for the teen parents, their child, their families, and society in general. Teen pregnancy may be a symptom or an indicator of other serious problems. There appears to be no consensus regarding which strategy(ies) may prevent unnecessary teen pregnancies or their causes.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
11 Individuals need opportunities for positive socialization.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
#12 The service delivery system is fragmented and lacks a coordinated approach to meeting the community-identified needs of children and families.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
TOTAL TARGET GROUP FUNDING RECOMMENDATION			\$1,585,647	\$1,961,011

TARGET GROUP: ADULTS, FAMILIES and CHILDREN

NOTE:

- ★ All problem statements are listed in order of priority need according to the Committee's best assessment. Sometimes, due to limited funding resources, or that some types of problems/needs are ineligible for federal Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) funds, an indication of "no SSBG funding" is recommended. Other funders are encouraged to consider funding these priority needs have been identified.
- ** Due to 2003 funding reductions and a prioritization of funded services by the MAG Human Services Committees, no SSBG funds were able to be recommended.
- *** Due to both reductions in Federal SSBG and state allocated TANF funds designated to the Council of Governments for Title XX local planning purposes, the MAG Human Services Committees ranked the 2002 funded SSBG services based upon those considered the "essential basic need services." Service utilization and waiting list information were also taken into account in making the SFY 2003 funding recommendations.

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002-2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: ELDERLY

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)**	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion and SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion and SSBG ONLY
#1 Elderly persons with physical or mental limitations and economic barriers increasingly are unable to provide for their nutritional needs. Collaboration, coordination and/or cooperation in delivering services are of prime importance to resolving this problem. There is increased concern about the possible loss of federal programs that have met this need in the recent past.	(2) HOME DELIVERED MEALS	Assist persons who cannot prepare their meals, are without other resources to assist them in this function, and who would be at risk of institutionalization were it not offered.	\$419,172	\$419,172
#2 Elderly persons with physical or mental limitations and economic barriers may be institutionalized prematurely because of a lack of home and community based services.	(4) HOME CARE: Housekeeping/Homemaker, Chore, Home Health Aid, Personal Care, Respite, and Nursing Services	Provide in-home care (Housekeeping/Homemaker, Chore, Home Health Aid, Personal Care, Respite, and Nursing Services) to persons who are unable to perform activities of daily living and thus are at risk of institutionalization.	\$162,696	\$162,696
	(11) ADULT DAY CARE/ADULT DAY HEALTH CARE	Provide care and supervision, a noon meal, socialization, structured activities, personal care and physical/intellectual stimulation in a community setting to frail elderly who are at risk of institutionalization because they are unable to be alone for long periods of time due to their condition.	\$207,253	\$207,253

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002-2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: ELDERLY

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)**	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion and SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion and SSBG ONLY
#3 Specialized transportation is a major problem for elderly because (a) their physical and economic conditions often limit their ability to use available transportation and (b) transportation is unavailable in some areas of the county and unavailable at needed times in those areas of the county where there is available transportation. Because of these limitations, elderly persons are unable to access available services. This is especially critical for the growing number of elderly individuals with chronic medical conditions, such as the need for dialysis and chemotherapy.	(12) TRANSPORTATION	Transport and/or escort elderly people who are without other resources to needed services.	\$35,249	\$35,249
#4 Elderly living on fixed incomes experience difficulty meeting their housing costs including rent, utilities, maintenance, repairs, taxes and insurance. There is concern about potential funding cuts in federal utility assistance programs.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#5 Elderly people often have difficulty obtaining medical, dental, housing, social or recreational services. Programs such as Medicaid (AHCCCS or ALTCS), energy assistance, food stamps, housing, and others are inadequate to meet the needs of elderly people. As a result of the delays and denials encountered, they experience economic hardships and emotional stress.	(24) SUPPORTIVE INTERVENTION/GUIDANCE COUNSELING	Assist elderly who are in crisis or in an unsatisfactory living situation to enable them to live as independently as possible.	\$181,210	\$181,210

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002-2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: ELDERLY

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)**	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion and SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 billion and SSBG ONLY
#6 Elderly are subjected to physical, emotional and financial abuse, neglect and exploitation.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#7 Limited behavioral health resources do not provide adequate prevention and treatment services to this population. Elderly living in Arizona experience the highest suicide rate in the nation.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#8 Community outreach to the elderly generally is not inclusive nor responsive to cultural and language diversity, and some elderly do not perceive themselves as being eligible or needing services.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#9 Care giving responsibilities often produce physical, emotional and financial stress for a family.			No SSBG funds recommended.*	No SSBG funds recommended.*
#10 Elderly often need to work due to economic conditions and changing family structures. They often experience age discrimination in employment and need education, retraining and support to help them find and retain jobs with adequate wages.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#11 Elderly often need assistance with legal issues, including guardianship, living wills, durable powers of attorney, and medical and property issues.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
TARGET GROUP TOTAL FUNDING RECOMMENDATION			\$1,005,580	\$1,005,580

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002-2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: ELDERLY

NOTE:

- ★ All problem statements are listed in order of priority need according to the Committee's best assessment. Sometimes, due to limited funding resources, or that some types of problems/needs are ineligible for federal Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) funds, an indication of "no SSBG funding" is recommended. Other funders are encouraged to consider funding these priority needs have been identified.
- ** Due to 2003 funding reductions and a prioritization of funded services by the MAG Human Services Committees, no SSBG funds were able to be recommended.
- *** Due to both reductions in Federal SSBG and state allocated TANF funds designated to the Council of Governments for Title XX local planning purposes, the MAG Human Services Committees ranked the 2002 funded SSBG services based upon those considered the "essential basic need services." Service utilization and waiting list information were also taken into account in making the SFY 2003 funding recommendations.

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002-2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 Billion SSBG ONLY
#1 Many individuals with disabilities need assistance and access to a more coordinated and greater array of resources and services such as employment, training, transportation, affordable and accessible housing, attendant and personal care and dental care to achieve independent living.	(10) HOME DELIVERED MEALS	Provide and deliver nutritious meals to non-elderly persons with disabilities to enable them to remain as independent as possible. Persons with physical disabilities should be given first consideration.	\$19,471	\$19,471
	(13) HOME CARE: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Housekeeping/Homemaker, Chore, Home Health Aid, Personal Care, Respite, and Nursing Services	Provide a program of services to enable non-elderly persons with disabilities to remain in their own homes. Persons with physical disabilities should be given first consideration.	\$38,030	\$38,030
	(17) SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT, EXTENDED	Provide a continuum of specialized employment related services for persons with severe disabilities to enable them to maintain employment in the least restrictive environment possible for the individual.	\$244,003	\$244,003
	(20) CONGREGATE MEALS	Provide nutritious meals to persons with disabilities in a congregate setting.	\$13,682	\$13,682
	(22) ADULT DAY CARE/ADULT DAY HEALTH CARE <ul style="list-style-type: none">Non elderly	Provide services to non-elderly persons with disabilities utilizing existing community programs wherever possible. Persons with physical disabilities should be given first consideration.	\$13,682	\$13,682
	(26) SUPPORTIVE INTERVENTION/GUIDANCE COUNSELING <ul style="list-style-type: none">Employment Related	Assist persons with disabilities in recognizing strengths and limitations, needs and opportunities, to enable the individual to become employable.	\$22,966	\$22,966

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002-2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 Billion SSBG ONLY
#1 Continued	(29) ADAPTIVE AIDS AND DEVICES	Provide aids or devices to assist persons with disabilities to become as self-sufficient as possible.	\$20,066	\$20,066
	(30) REHABILITATION INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Provide a program of services to enable individual persons with disabilities to remain as independent as possible.	\$113,329	\$21,436
	(34) INTERPRETER --access community services	Provide interpreter service to assist individual persons with disabilities in accessing community services.	\$27,306	No SSBG funds recommended**
#2 Lack of transportation is the greatest barrier and frustration to accessing programs that are available to persons with disabilities.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#3 Many individuals with disabilities, including those who are homeless and those who are from diverse and/or non-English speaking cultures, lack the information, training, skills or assistance to effectively access services and benefit programs.	SUPPORTIVE INTERVENTION/GUIDANCE COUNSELING		\$75,681	No SSBG funds recommended**
	(31) Access to Benefits	a. Provide information and assistance to persons with disabilities to access services and benefit programs. Persons with physical disabilities should be given first consideration.		
	(41) People with disabilities who are homeless	b. Provide information and assistance to homeless persons with disabilities to access services and benefit programs. Persons with physical disabilities should be given first consideration.	\$9,577	No SSBG funds recommended**
	(35) Coping with Stress of a Disability	c. Assist persons with disabilities in coping with stress and the effects of their disability to enable them to be as independent as possible.	\$34,503	No SSBG funds recommended**
#4 Many individuals with disabilities have limited access to social and recreational programs in the community.	(42) VOLUNTEER MANAGEMENT • Socialization and Recreation Services	Provide a coordinator of volunteers for a recreation/socialization program for persons with severe disabilities.	\$15,212	No SSBG funds recommended**

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002-2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

2002-2003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on 1.7 billion SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding based on 1.7 Billion SSBG ONLY
#5 Early information is needed for people with disabilities to attain an optimal functional level.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#6 Many individuals with disabilities have limited access to public buildings.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#7 A lack of sensitivity awareness or peer mentoring by employers of people who have disabilities often exists. A good employer of people with disabilities is needed to mentor other employers who may potentially hire persons with disabilities.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
TARGET GROUP TOTAL FUNDING RECOMMENDATION			\$647,508	\$393,336

NOTE:

★ All problem statements are listed in order of priority need according to the Committee's best assessment. Sometimes, due to limited funding resources, or that some types of problems/needs are ineligible for federal Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) funds, an indication of "no SSBG funding" is recommended. Other funders are encouraged to consider funding these priority needs have been identified.

** Due to 2003 funding reductions and a prioritization of funded services by the MAG Human Services Committees, no SSBG funds were able to be recommended.

*** Due to both reductions in Federal SSBG and state allocated TANF funds designated to the Council of Governments for Title XX local planning purposes, the MAG Human Services Committees ranked the 2002 funded SSBG services based upon those considered the "essential basic need services." Service utilization and waiting list information were also taken into account in making the SFY 2003 funding recommendations.

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002 - 2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES

2002 - 20003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on \$1.7 billion SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding Based on \$1.7 Billion SSBG Only
#1 Children and adults with developmental disabilities and their families, especially those from diverse and/or non-English speaking cultures, have limited or no access to year 'round community day and support services such as respite services, socialization, recreation opportunities, and transportation resources.	(25) RESPITE	Provide respite services for non-ALTCS clients' care givers, giving priority to clients' care givers who are ineligible for IDEA Part C-funded services. This service must not supplant state funding.	\$36,918	\$36,918
	(40) SOCIALIZATION AND RECREATION	Provide opportunities for individuals with developmental disabilities to participate in integrated recreation, and community day programs.	\$18,661	No SSBG Funds Recommended**
	(16) TRANSPORTATION	Provide transportation to necessary services for persons with developmental disabilities of all ages. DDD - eligible individuals who are ALTCS eligible may receive MAG-planned, SSBG-funded transportation service to access non ALTCS services which are included in their Individual Service Plan (ISP).	\$25,833	\$25,833
#2 Many individuals with developmental disabilities who are exiting the school system are unable to access meaningful community employment or specialized employment-related programs. MAG committee members agree that mentoring/training of employers who will hire persons with developmental disabilities can be beneficial. Retraining of employers is also critical so that positions held by persons with developmental disabilities are retained in the event of a leadership change or staff turnover.	SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT, EXTENDED			
	(15) For any client who needs specialized employment opportunities (23) For state-funded only clients who live in the family home and need community or specialized employment	For ALTCS and non-ALTCS clients who need specialized employment opportunities. Not to supplant state or federal funds. For non-ALTCS, DDD-eligible clients who live at home with their families and need community employment or specialized employment. Not to supplant state or federal funds.	\$342,786 \$76,169	\$342,786 \$76,169

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002 - 2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES

2002 - 20003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on \$1.7 billion SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding Based on \$1.7 Billion SSBG Only
#3 Persons with developmental disabilities lack affordable dental care.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#4 Persons with developmental disabilities are living longer due, in part, to medical advancements. As they and their parents or care takers age, new problems such as retirement from employment, vulnerability to biological, physiological and sociological consequences of aging, conservatorship, and guardianship are emerging.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#5 Persons with developmental disabilities have limited access to (early) services which minimize the severity of the disability and which help them adjust to the stresses of living with a disability.	(32) OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	For non-ALTCS, DDD-eligible persons, not to supplant nor supplement ALTCS or AzEIP-eligible persons.	\$8,111	No SSBG funds recommended**
	(36) SPEECH THERAPY	For non _ALTCS, DDD-eligible persons, not to supplant nor supplement ALTCS or AzEIP -eligible persons.	\$11,156	No SSBG funds recommended**
	(33) PHYSICAL THERAPY	For non-ALTCS. DDD eligible persons, not to supplant nor supplement ALTCS or AzEIP -eligible persons.	\$9,663	No SSBG funds recommended**
	(38) SUPPORTIVE INTERVENTION/ GUIDANCE COUNSELING	Not limited by client age nor ALTCS eligibility. May support or counsel any client, client family member or client care giver.	\$26,394	No SSBG funds recommended**

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002 - 2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES

2002 - 20003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on \$1.7 billion SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding Based on \$1.7 Billion SSBG Only
#6 Many persons with developmental disabilities are unable to care for their personal needs.	(27) HABILITATION SERVICES	Provide training to persons with developmental disabilities of all ages who are unable to provide for their own household and personal living skills needs. This service is client-focused and is limited to persons not eligible for ALTCS.	\$36,349	\$36,349
	(21) ATTENDANT CARE SERVICES	Provide necessary services to persons with developmental disabilities in their homes. This service is limited to persons not eligible for ALTCS.	\$35,597	\$35,998
#7 Many individuals with developmental disabilities are unable to secure appropriately supported living situations.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#8 Families need information and education about the nature of developmental disabilities, developmental delay, and their effects on families and society. Medical, business, and other appropriate professional communities are also in need of continuous professional education in developmental disabilities.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *
#9 Teenagers and adults need information and education about how their drug abuse or addiction, poor nutrition, and child abuse may cause developmental disabilities for a child. These kinds of services have the potential to limit preventable disabilities.			No SSBG funds recommended *	No SSBG funds recommended *

DISTRICT 1: MARICOPA COUNTY -- 2002 - 2003 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT RECOMMENDATIONS

TARGET GROUP: PERSONS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES

2002 - 20003 PROBLEM STATEMENT	SERVICE TITLE & SERVICE RANKING ACROSS TARGET GROUPS (for the 42 Funded SSBG Services)***	SERVICE INTENT(S)	2001-2002 Funding based on \$1.7 billion SSBG ONLY	2002-2003 Funding Based on \$1.7 Billion SSBG Only
#10 Women and men who have developmental disabilities are becoming parents. They need in-home parent aide services, appropriate community resource programs and transportation services to be effective parents for their children and to function as a family unit in the community.			No SSBG funds recommended. *	No SSBG funds recommended *
TARGET GROUP TOTAL FUNDING RECOMMENDATION			\$627,637	\$544,053

NOTE:

* All problem statements are listed in order of priority need according to the Committee's best assessment. Sometimes, due to limited funding resources, or that some types of problems/needs are ineligible for federal Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) funds, an indication of "no SSBG funding" is recommended. Other funders are encouraged to consider funding these priority needs have been identified.

** Due to 2003 funding reductions and a prioritization of funded services by the MAG Human Services Committees, no SSBG funds were able to be recommended.

*** Due to both reductions in Federal SSBG and state allocated TANF funds designated to the Council of Governments for Title XX local planning purposes, the MAG Human Services Committees ranked the 2002 funded SSBG services based upon those considered the "essential basic need services." Service utilization and waiting list information were also taken into account in making the SFY 2003 funding recommendations.